# Culture Survey Measurement: Data analysis \& score interpretation for the SAQ 

In the SAQ the data is represented as subscale scores and as individual items. Higher subscale scores indicate positive attitudes and high performance in the domain of safety culture.

## SAQ Short Form Subscales and Items

Domain
Teamwork climate

## Question numbers

Safety Climate

$$
1-6
$$

$$
7-13
$$

Job satisfaction

$$
15-19
$$

Stress recognition
Perceptions of
20-23

Management
Working conditions

$$
29-32
$$

[^0]NB. Items 14 \& 33-36 are not part of the scales above, they are reported as individual items - this is outlined in the survey reporting template

## How the SAQ is scored

The SAQ contains three negatively worded items (Items 2, 11 and 36), which must be reverse scored before calculating subscales and items. Questionnaires that use a Likert scale (e.g. strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, strongly agree) for answering questions may contain items that must be reverse scored. For example, in the SAQ there are positively worded items (e.g. 'Morale in this clinical area is high'), and some negatively worded items (e.g. 'Communication delays that lead to delays in delivery of service are common').

In this example, we attribute an answer of strongly disagree with a score of 1 , disagree $=2$, neutral $=3$, agree $=4$ and strongly agree $=5$ for each positively worded question, as this would give high agreement items a high score. However, we cannot use the same scoring for the negatively worded items and so we reverse score those items. Reverse scoring means that the numerical scoring scale runs in the opposite direction. So, in the above example strongly disagree gets a score of 5, disagree would be 4, neutral still equals 3 , agree becomes 2 and strongly agree $=1$. The SAQ negatively worded items have already been reverse scored within the QARS survey, so no further data manipulation is required for Safety Culture Leads.

## Importing the SAQ data into the Excel spreadsheet from QARS

Data from QARS can be transferred to a specially formatted excel spreadsheet to calculate domain scores.
All instructions for transferring and reviewing data are in the Excel spreadsheet

Once the survey closes, export the data file in Excel (.xls or .xlsx). Enter in the data for each case (i.e. case means each individual who completed survey responses).

## Step 1: Download raw data from Results/Report in QARS

## Step 2: Delete all comment questions from the raw data file

| AD | AE | AF | AG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q4: Comment | Q5: Management supports my daily efforts \| Matrix1: Unit Management | 05: Management supports my daily efforts \| Matrix2: Hospital Management | 05: comment |
|  | Disagree Slightly | Disagree Slightly |  |
|  | Disagree Slightly | Disagree Slightly |  |
|  | Neutral | Neutral |  |
|  | Agree Strongly | Agree Strongly |  |
|  | Disagree Slightly | Neutral |  |
|  | Agree Strongly | Agree Strongly |  |
|  | Disagree Slightly | Neutral |  |
|  | Agree Slightly | N/A |  |
|  | Agree Slightly | Agree Slightly |  |
|  | Disagree Slightly | Neutral |  |

Step 3: Enter in the data for each case (e.g. each individual's completed survey.) in the Sheet "Raw_Data" in the second row highlighted in Orange,
Important point to remember is when pasting data in the RawData tab instead of deleting the rows you should use "Clear contents" option.

Please do not replace the data in the first row
You can copy and paste from the excel spreadsheet exported from QARS
RawData sheet has allowed for 10,000 respondents

Step 4. Questions 2, 11 and 36 have already been reverse scored within the QARS survey, so no further data manipulation is required.

Step 5. Refresh the data in the Sheet Demographic_Summary by following the below steps
> Click on Data Tab --> Refresh All


Click on the facility filters in both the tables and ensure all the listed facilities are selected including blank.


| facility | 7 T Service | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\exists$ WNSWLHD-Dubbo | $\checkmark$ Anaesthetics |  |
|  | Emergency |  |
|  | $\square$ Gastroenterology |  |
| WNSWLHD-Cowra District Hospital | - Anaesthetics |  |
|  | - Emergency |  |
|  | $\square$ Gastroenterology |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |

## Step 6. Refresh the data in the Sheet Subscale_Score by following below steps

- Click on facility filter dropdown
- Ensure all the facilities in the filter are selected including blank and click OK to complete
- Do the same process as above for the service filter

| Facility | (AII) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Service | (AII) |
|  | $\square$ |

Step 7. The subscales will automatically calculate in the sheet Converted_Data.

## Score interpretation

The subscales will automatically calculate in the SAQ Subscale and Item Calculator. Subscale scores and meaning are outlined below.

| Score | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| Above 80 is <br> excellent | This is a strength and groups should consider how to leverage <br> their strengths in other areas |
|  | High average: 70-79: This is nearly a strength and may only <br> require fine tuning to turn this into excellent. Groups should ask <br> themselves 'what is required to go from good to excellent?' |
| is average | Medium average: 60-69: This is a neutral result. Groups should <br> explore what underpins this result in facilitated discussion s or <br> staff debriefs to better understand what is needed to improve in <br> this area. |
| Low average: 50-59: This is bordering on poor and can be <br> considered an area for improvement. |  |
| Below 50 is poor | This indicates a priority area and requires discussion amongst <br> the team and action planning for improvement. |

## Reporting the SAQ score interpretation

There is an SAQ report PowerPoint template available on the CEC website for Safety Culture Leads to populate. Simply type in the relevant subscale and item numerical score and descriptor into the relevant section. Below is an example.

| Subscale | Subscale scores | Score interpretation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teamwork Climate | 75 | High average |
| Safety Climate | 69 | Medium average |
| Job Satisfaction | 80 | Excellent |
| Stress Recognition | 49 | Low |
| Perceptions of <br> Management (Unit) | 78 | High average |
| Perceptions of <br> Management (Hospital) | 65 | Medium average |
| Working Conditions | 54 | Low average |


[^0]:    * These are each asked twice. Once for Unit Managers and once for Hospital Managers

